

Name of meeting: Date:	Cabinet Committee - Local Issues 24 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2022
Title of report:	Traffic Regulation (No 20) Order 2021 - Objection to the proposed permit parking and "no waiting at any time" restrictions on Luck Lane, Huddersfield.
Purpose of report:	To consider one objection received to the double yellow lines and consequently the remaining space for the permit parking bay.

Key Decision - Is it likely to result in spending or saving £250k or more, or to have a significant effect on two or more electoral wards?	Νο
Key Decision - Is it in the <u>Council's</u> Forward Plan (key decisions and private reports?)	No
The Decision - Is it eligible for call in by Scrutiny?	Yes
Date signed off by <u>Strategic Director</u> & name	Colin Parr – 09/02/2022
Is it also signed off by the Service Director Finance?	Eamonn Croston – 31/01/2022
Is it also signed off by the Service Director for Legal Governance and Commissioning?	Julie Muscroft – 4/02/2022
Cabinet member portfolio	Councillor Naheed Mather

# Electoral wards affected: Greenhead

Ward councillors consulted: Yes

Public or private: Public

Has GDPR been considered: Yes

## 1. Summary

- 1.2 An application was made by the residents of Luck Lane for the introduction of a residents only permit parking bay outside their properties to help with dealing with a problem parking due to people visiting and working in the shops on New Hey Road and the mill building on Luck Lane.
- 1.3 The requested permit parking scheme met and was justified under the Council's agreed Permit Parking Policy and with a new permit holder bay covering 8am to10pm Mon Sat we have been able to provide for the wishes of the Luck Lane residents.
- 1.4 In addition we have included new sections of double yellow line restrictions to prevent any displaced parking from parking in unsafe locations such as around the various accesses off Luck Lane at this point. The proposals are shown on drawing TF.15.200.415, (attached to this report)
- 1.5 The traffic regulation order was advertised in the local press, had notices placed on site and in the Councils website, the objection period covered 20 August 2021 to 20 September 2021, and we received one objection.

## 2 Information required to take a decision

- 2.1 The request for the permit parking scheme came from a request from the residents on this section of Luck Lane and is specifically designed to ensure that space is available on street, so they can park within a reasonable distance of their properties, something that is not currently possible because of the various retail and business premises nearby.
- 2.2 The additional double yellow lines were included to prevent any displaced parking from moving too close to the two access at the Coop for the car park and servicing and the mill which has a number of businesses operating in it. Also the rear access to The Lighthouse apartments which is on the same side of the road as the properties needed protecting with double yellow lines to maintain adequate visibility when exiting.

## **Objection:**

In general I welcome the proposal to introduce permit parking along Luck Lane but have objections to the length of double yellow lines proposed on the north west side. By introducing them 63m from the junction with New Hey Road we are reducing the available parking space significantly. I feel they should be approximately 1m from the dropped kerb from The Lighthouse exit. This would add approx. 6m to the proposed permit only bay.

The two exits onto Reed Street, directly opposite us, have similar lengths of "no waiting" road markings, to my proposal by their dropped kerbs, up to their permit only bays and not an extra 6m either side.

I welcome the proposed 08:00-22:00 Mon-Sat restrictions but would ask why they could not be extended to all days, all hours as the Mountjoy Road scheme proposal.

**In response:** The double yellow lines that extend past The Lighthouse exit is the length it is to provide visibility for those using the exit, this is a standard 10m from the

access, as required by law and highlighted in the highway code. If we extended the permit bay length by 6m the visibility will be compromised for those exiting this development and it was not an issue we wanted to make permanent through the creation of this traffic regulation order.

The arrangement in the adjacent Reed Street that is referred to has less than 1m gap on either side of the access and makes visibility when leaving the back lane very poor and not something we would want to replicate as we often receive complaints about poor visibility leaving drives and accesses across Kirklees. So while we can we have taken the opportunity to maintain an appropriate level of visibility as part of this traffic regulation order.

The 8am to 10pm Mon-Sat restrictions match Reed Street on the other side of the Coop car park. We do not place permit parking bays in isolation as they tend to just displace parking to the next street, so the two permit holder only bays are consistent in this area. The Mountjoy Road is a reference to a location advertised under the same traffic regulation order for efficiency; this was an extension to an existing full time permit holders bay so needed to match the remainder of the permit bay to be correct. The 8am to 10pm Mon-Sat restrictions are enough to counteract parking caused by local businesses around Luck Lane and Reed Street.

## 3 Implications for the Council

- a. **Working with people -** The proposed works were at the request of local residents who have difficulty parking near their homes.
- b. Working with Partners Not applicable
- c. Place based Working Not applicable
- d. Climate Change and Air Quality These proposals will not have a detrimental impact on Air Quality or Climate Change.
- e. Improving outcomes for children- No change
- f. **Other -** There will be an ongoing maintenance cost to the Council which will be funded through our capital maintenance and lining allocations as necessary.

# 4 Consultees and their opinions

Statutory consultees and ward Councillors were consulted on these proposals in April 2021 with no adverse comments received from the statutory consultees.

## 5. Next steps and timelines

Cabinet Committee Local Issues to consider the objection raised during the formal advertising period for the proposed

If Cabinet Committee Local Issues chooses to overrule the objections received the scheme will be implemented as advertised.

If Cabinet Committee choose to uphold the objection, then the traffic regulation order as shown on the plan will cannot be installed and the residents will be informed.

#### 6. Officer recommendations and reasons

The proposed installation of the permit holder bay covering 8am to 10pm Mon to Sat is to give the residents of Luck Lane the availability of parking near their property available to them during the majority of the week. This is complemented with some additional double yellow lines necessary to protect access points to the adjacent supermarket, apartments and mill site from any of the displaced parking.

## 7. Cabinet portfolio holder's recommendations

The Cabinet Portfolio Holder supports the Officer recommendation.

#### 8. Contact officer

Ken Major -Principal Engineer (01484) 221000 <u>ken.major@kirklees.gov.uk</u>

#### 9. Service Director responsible

Wendy Blakeley -Strategic Director – Highways and Streetscene (01484) 221000 wendy.blakeley@kirklees.gov.uk